

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/934,192		MATSUMOTO, SHIGEKI	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	BARBARA N. BURGESS		2457	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) BARBARA N. BURGESS.

(2) Thomas Presson.

**Date of Interview:** 3 August 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-3, 5-7

Prior art documents discussed:

Patent 6,571,246 (Anderson et al.)

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Barbara N Burgess/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2457

**Status of Application:** Amendment

(3) Russell Blaze.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** Morning

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representatives Thomas Presson and Russell Blaze several times throughout the week of August 24-August 27, 2010 to discuss possible amendments to place the application into condition for allowance. Both Mr. Presson and Mr. Blaze expressed the need to get approval from the client before proceeding with the proposed amendment. As of today, Monday, August 30, 2010, Mr. Presson and Mr. Blaze had not heard back from the client in order to move forward. Thus, a final has been filed in response to amendments filed 6-16-10 and submitted herewith..